3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA JOHN JOSEPH SEKA, 8 Case No.: 2:22-cv-02184-RFB-BNW 9 Petitioner **Order Directing Service of Petition and Setting Briefing Schedule** 10 v. 11 CALVIN JOHNSON, WARDEN, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 John Joseph Seka challenges his conviction in Eighth Judicial District Court (Clark 14 County), Nevada by a jury of first-degree murder, second-degree murder, and two counts of 15 robbery. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals granted his application for authorization to file a 16 second or successive 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition and transferred the proposed petition 17 to this Court. The Court has conducted a preliminary review of the petition under Rule 4 of the 18 Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts and directs that it be 19 served on respondents. 20 A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner 21 is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from 22 seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C. §2244(b) (successive petitions). If 23

petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his petition, he should notify the court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a motion to amend his petition to add the claim.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk of Court electronically SERVE the petition (ECF No. 2)¹ on respondents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court add Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney General, as counsel for respondents and provide respondents an electronic copy of all items previously filed in this case by regenerating the Notice of Electronic Filing to the office of the Attorney General only.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents file a response to the petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within 90 days of service of the petition, with any requests for relief by petitioner by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the local rules. Any response filed is to comply with the remaining provisions below, which are entered pursuant to Habeas Rule 5.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents in this case be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In other words, the court does not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in seriatum fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents should not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they will do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they will specifically direct their argument

¹ The petition itself is actually found at ECF No. 2 at 78-120.

to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in Cassett v. Stewart, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, should be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss. 4 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record 7 materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner has 45 days from service of the answer, 9 motion to dismiss, or other response to file a reply or opposition, with any other requests for relief by respondents by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the local 11 rules. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by either petitioner or respondents be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number. The parties will identify filed CM/ECF attachments by the number of the exhibit in the attachment. Each exhibit will be filed as a separate attachment. /// 16 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 ///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, at this time, the parties send courtesy copies of any responsive pleading or motion and all INDICES OF EXHIBITS ONLY to the Reno Division of this court. Courtesy copies are to be mailed to the Clerk of Court, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, 4 NV, 89501, and directed to the attention of "Staff Attorney" on the outside of the mailing address 5 label. No further courtesy copies are required unless and until requested by the court. **DATED:** 26 April 2024. RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE